

### REMARKS

In the Office Action dated September 15, 2003, claims 1-32 were rejected. Claims 1-32 are now pending in the application. In view of the remarks and amendments, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the application.

The Examiner provisionally rejects Claims 1-32 with a double patenting rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 101 based on co-pending application serial no. 09/827,472. Applicant acknowledges the provisional rejection.

The Examiner rejected Claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 as being indefinite for reciting "a plurality of display panels positioned on said display unit" as not being clear. Applicant amended Claim 1 to overcome this rejection.

The Examiner objects to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a) as not showing every feature of the invention for Claims 11-13, 15, 16, and 31. Applicant submits replacement drawing sheet for Figure 1. To overcome this objection, elements 101e, 101f, and 101g are incorporated into the replacement drawing sheet for Figure 1, and additional paragraphs are also incorporated into the specification explaining these elements. No new matter was added.

Claims 20 and 26 were rejected under U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,104,334 (hereinafter Allport).

Applicant has amended Claims 20 and 26 to include the limitation of:

wherein said data mark indicates a time and said data  
mark represents content that is broadcasted at said  
time

Applicant believes that Allport fails to teach a data mark that indicates a time. Further, Applicant believes that Allport fails to teach the data mark that represents content which is broadcasted at the time indicated by the data mark.

Therefore, Allport does not anticipate Claims 20 and 26. Thus, independent Claims 20 and 26 are in condition for allowance. In addition, Claims 21-25 depend directly or indirectly on Claim 20 and Claims 27-31 depend directly or indirectly on Claim 26; Claims 21-25 and 27-31 therefore, are patentable for at least the same reasons discussed above.

Claims 1, 3-9, and 32 were rejected under U.S.C. § 103(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,199,125 (hereinafter Cortesi).

Applicant has amended Claims 1 and 32 to include the limitation of:

wherein said data mark indicates a time and said data  
mark represents content that is broadcasted at said  
time

Applicant believes that Cortesi fails to teach, hint or suggest a data mark that indicates a time. Further, Applicant believes that Cortesi fails to teach, hint, or suggest the data mark that represents content which is broadcasted at the time indicated by the data mark.


Therefore, Cortesi fails to render Claims 1 and 32 unpatentable. Thus, independent Claims 1 and 32 are in condition for allowance. In addition, Claims 2-9 depend directly or indirectly on Claim 1 and therefore, are patentable for at least the same reasons discussed above.

In view of the foregoing remarks and amendments, Applicant respectfully submits that all pending claims are in condition for allowance. Such allowance is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner finds any remaining impediment to the prompt allowance of these claims that could be clarified with a telephone conference, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Richard H. Butler at (408) 223-9763.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 12/15/03



Richard H. Butler  
Registration No. 40,932

Please Send Correspondence to:  
Valley Oak Law  
5655 Silver Creek Valley Road  
#106  
San Jose, CA 95138  
(408)223-9763



# Annotated Sheet




FIGURE 1